

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				1
				ł
Y	٦.	~		1
1	Ŧ	п	•	,

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,749	06/29/2001	Douglas K. Wyatt	7895.0027	3336	
63170 7590 03/22/2007 PERKINS COLE LLP		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2168			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
MENLO PARK, CA 94026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/893,749	WYATT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Wood	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and a specification to become ABANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nnuary 2007.					
	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 25-43 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (P10-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/07.	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

this Office action:

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 25-43 are pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 25-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Balasubramaniam** et al. (USPN 6,477,550).

<u>Claim 25</u>

Balasubramaniam disclosed a method in a client of launching a software component, the client having an execution environment, the method comprising:

receiving from a user a request to launch the software component (column 5, lines 60-61; column 6, lines 1-2);

sending to a server a request to launch the software component (column 5, lines 60-61);

in response to sending the request, receiving from the server a launch page that includes code to determine whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client, to determine parameters of the execution environment of the client, and to request downloading of the software component configured based on the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51); and

under control of the code of the received launch page,

determining whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51);

when it is determined that the software component cannot successfully execute in the execution environment of the client, reporting an error to the user (column 6, lines 47-51);

when it is determined that the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51),

determining parameters of the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51);

sending to the server a request to download the software component, the request indicating the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51);

receiving from the server the software component configured according to the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51); and

launching execution of the software component (column 6, lines 62-65).

Claim 26

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client includes determining whether a certain scripting language is supported (figure 3, line 10, "SCRITP LANGUAGE="JavaScript"").

Claim 27

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution

environment of the client includes determining whether the software component can be downloaded from the server (column 6, lines 55-59).

Claim 28

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 27 wherein the determining of whether the software component can be downloaded includes attempting to download from the server a test component (column 6, lines 55-59).

Claim 29

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client includes determining whether a browser is enabled to execute code in a certain language (figure 3, line 10, "SCRITP LANGUAGE="JavaScript"").

<u>Claim 30</u>

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including when it is determined that the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client, establishing a connection between the client and the server (column 6, lines 47-51, then it is downloaded).

<u>Claim 31</u>

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including after sending to the server a request to download the software component, receiving from the server application content (column 6, lines 47-51).

Claim 32

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including after receiving from the server the software component configured according to the determined parameters, executing code to detect changes in a parameter of the execution environment of the client and when a change is detected, notifying the server of the change to the parameter so that the server can effect the re-configuring of the software component (column 6, lines 52-54, component now updated and maintained whenever visiting server).

Claim 33

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of the execution environment of the claim indicates whether a browser has certain plug-ins (column 6, lines 15-37).

Claim 34

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of the execution environment of the client relates to a security policy of the client (column 6, lines 4-6, browser detection indicates security of that type of browser).

Claim 35

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of the execution environment of the client relates to a hardware configuration of the client (column 6, lines 4-6, browser detection indicates hardware configuration able to support such a browser).

Claims 36-43

The limitations of claims 36-43 correspond to the limitations of claims 25-32 and are rejected in the same manner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues **Balasubramaniam** does not disclose determining parameters of the execution environment of the client and configuring the software component according to the determined parameters.

The cited prior art demonstrates parameters going from the client computer to the server in the form filled out (column 6, line 48). These

parameters all of the downloading of the component, thus the component is configured according to them. The rejections are maintained.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 10:00am - 4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)-272-3756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system. contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

AU 2193

Page 8

March 19, 2007